



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 944/2025 & I.A.No.32233/2025 (under Order
XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 CPC)

ANJALI SHARMA

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Sudhir Naagar & Ms.
Rajshree Singh, Advs.

versus

VINAY SHARMA & ORS.

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Abhijeet Mishra, Ms. Payal
Bahl, Mr. Vikhyat Gupta, Mr.
Himanshu Tomar, Mr. Prashant
Jaiswal & Mr. Ram Pravesh,
Advs. for D-1 (appeared through
VC)
Mr. Vishnu Dutt Sharma, Adv.
for D-2 & 3 (appeared through
VC)

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. SUMIT
DALAL

ORDER
17.04.2026

%

1. Written statement of Defendant No. 1 along with affidavit of admission/denial of documents is already on record. Learned counsel for Defendant No. 1 submits that no documents have been filed along with the written statement. The submission is taken on record.
2. No replication to the written statement of Defendant No. 1 has been filed till date. Learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that the same could not be filed as the



plaintiff was under impression that documents had been filed along with the written statement of Defendant No. 1 and was awaiting clarification in this regard. It is submitted that in view of the clarification given today that no documents have been filed, the limitation period for filing replication should commence from today.

3. In view of the submissions made, this Court is satisfied that the doubt regarding filing of documents by Defendant No. 1 was bona fide and required clarification, which stands clarified today. Accordingly, the limitation period for filing replication to the written statement of Defendant No. 1 shall commence from today. Let the same be filed within the stipulated time period.
4. As per office note, written statement along with affidavit of admission/denial of documents filed on behalf of Defendant Nos. 2 and 3 has been returned under objections. Defendant Nos. 2 and 3 are directed to coordinate with the Registry, remove the objections, and have the same placed on record.
5. As per office note, hard copy of the written statement along with affidavit of admission/denial filed on behalf of Defendant No. 1 is under objections. Upon enquiry, learned counsel for Defendant No. 1 submits that the objection pertains to absence of specific orders of this Court for taking the hard copies on record.
6. Accordingly, the Registry is directed to take on record the hard copies of the written statement and affidavit of admission/denial of documents filed on behalf of



Defendant No. 1.  is clarified that insofar as the objection relates to absence of Court directions, the same stands removed. If there are any other objections, the Registry shall deal with the same as per Rules.

7. List before the Hon'ble Court for further directions on the date already fixed, i.e., **22.04.2026**.

SUMIT DALAL
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

APRIL 17, 2026/nk