



\$~41, 42 & 43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CONT.CAS(C) 419/2016
NIDHI SURI Petitioner
Through Mr. V. Harish Katyal, Advocate
versus

BALDEV RAJ ARORA & ORS SHREE GEETA BHAWAN
MODEL SEC SCHOOL Respondent
Through Mr. Puneet Taneja and Mr.
Manmohan Singh, Advocates
Mr. Satyakam, ASC GNCTD for R- 2
& 3

+ CONT.CAS(C) 421/2016
SUSHMA KAMRA Petitioner
Through Mr. V. Harish Katyal, Advocate
versus

BALDEV RAJ ARORA & ORS Respondents
Through Mr. Puneet Taneja and Mr.
Manmohan Singh, Advocates
Mr. Satyakam, ASC GNCTD for R- 2
& 3

+ CONT.CAS(C) 422/2016
INDU BALA Petitioner
Through Mr. V. Harish Katyal, Advocate
versus

BALDEV RAJ ARORA & ORS Respondents
Through Mr. Puneet Taneja and Mr.
Manmohan Singh, Advocates
Mr. Satyakam, ASC GNCTD for R- 2
& 3

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SANGITA DHINGRA SEHGAL



2026:DHC:6075

ORDER

% **29.04.2019**

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in terms of order dated 06.03.2019, he has supplied a copy of a proposal by way of an affidavit along with copies of bank statements and FDR to the learned counsel for the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a proposal submitted by respondents is not acceptable to them.

In these circumstances, list on 09.10.2019 for arguments.

SANGITA DHINGRA SEHGAL, J

APRIL 29, 2019

rr