



\$~73

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CM(M) 178/2025**

BIMLA

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Charu Ambwani, Advocate

versus

DEEPAK CHHABRA

.....Respondent

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA

ORDER

% **29.01.2025**

CM APPL. 5314/2025 EXEMPTION

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

Application stands disposed of.

CM APPL. 5315/2025 DELAY OF 20 DAYS IN RE-FILING

For the reasons stated in the application, the delay of 20 days in re-filing the petition is allowed.

The application stands disposed of.

CM(M) 178/2025 & CM APPL. 5313/2025 STAY

1. Petitioner is the defendant before the trial court in Suit for Ejectment filed against her by the respondent.
2. The claim of the petitioner is that she had purchased the property from Mr. Sanjay Akarnia, who according to her, had purchased it from the respondent. Thus, according to the petitioner, Sanjay Akarnia is a necessary



party to the suit and that being so, the suit is bad for non-joinder of necessary parties.

3. Issues were framed vide order dated 31.01.2024.

4. Petitioner filed an application under Order XIV Rule 5 CPC for framing of an additional issue, but the same has been dismissed vide order dated 15.10.2024. It is this order, which has been challenged in the present petition.

5. Issue notice of the petition to the respondent through all prescribed modes, subject to taking requisite steps, returnable for 16.07.2025.

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J.

JANUARY 29, 2025

RM/nc