



\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 935/2025**

BENU KUMAR SINDHWANI

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Prachi Vashisht, Adv.

versus

ARUN KOHLI

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Rajat, Adv. (appeared
through VC)

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. SUMIT
DALAL**

ORDER

22.04.2026

%

**IA No. 31983/2025 (under Order XXXIX Rule 1 & 2
CPC)**

1. As per office note, rejoinder has been filed.
2. Accordingly, pleadings in the captioned IA stand concluded.

CS(OS) 935/2025

3. As per office note, replication along with affidavit of admission/denial filed on behalf of the plaintiff has been returned under objections.
4. The plaintiff is directed to coordinate with the Registry, remove the objections, and have the same placed on record.
5. Learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that it has been recently learnt that the defendant is proposing to let out the suit property in the month of June, which



would be in violation of the order dated 19.12.2026 passed by the Hon'ble Court.

6. Learned counsel for the defendant, on the other hand, submits that the property had already been let out prior to the order dated 19.12.2026.
7. The submissions of learned counsel for the parties are noted.
8. List before the Hon'ble Court for further directions on the date already fixed, i.e., **27.04.2026**.

SUMIT DALAL
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

APRIL 22, 2026/nk