



\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 148/2018, I.A. 39572/2024 & I.A. 20414/2025**

**THE YOUNG MENS CHRISTIAN ASSOCIATION OF
ERNAKULAM & ORS.**

.....Plaintiffs

Through: Mr. Akshat Gogna, Adv. for P-1 & 8
M: 9650718557
Mr. Kuriakose Varghese and Ms. Isha
Ghai, Advs. for P-2
Mr. P.I. Jose, Adv.
Mr. Jai Sahai Endlaw and Ms.
Sagarika Kaul, Advs. for P-6

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL YMCAS OF INDIA & ORS.Defendants

Through: Mr. Purvesh Buttan and Ms. Nishita
Gupta, Advs. for D-12
M: 9818208200
Mr. Sanjay Agrawal, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Navin Prakash, Mr. Vedvyas
Tripathi, Mr. Nishant Datt and Ms.
Srishti Prakash, Advs. for Datt Real
Infra Pvt. Ltd.
Mr. Manish Sharma, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Puneet Jaiswal, Mr. N. Dogra,
Mr. Sandeep Sherawat and Mr.
Nishant Manav, Advs. for D-1

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA

ORDER

%

17.04.2026

1. Learned appearing for defendant no.1 submits that *I.A. 20414/2025* filed on their behalf needs to be heard. He points out to this Court the orders passed by the Supreme Court dated 05th August, 2025 and 18th December,



2025 in SLP(C) No. 14840/2024, titled as “*The National Council of YMCAs of India Versus M/s Datt Real Infra Private Ltd. & Ors.*”

2. By referring to the aforesaid order, learned Senior Counsel appearing for defendant no.1 submits that the Supreme Court has already taken cognizance of the Memorandum of Understanding (“MoU”) dated 26th June, 2025, entered into by the National Council of YMCAs of India, and therefore, the present application has been filed only as an abundant caution for taking permission of this Court for the purposes of sale of the property in question.

3. The present application is vehemently opposed by learned counsel appearing for plaintiff nos. 4 and 5.

4. This Court is informed that except plaintiff nos. 4 and 5, the other plaintiffs, as well as the other parties, are not opposing the present application.

5. The aforesaid statement is taken note of.

6. Learned counsel appearing for M/s Datt Real Infra Pvt. Ltd. is present before this Court. He submits that reply to the present application has been filed on their behalf. However, the same is not on record before this Court.

7. Accordingly, let steps be taken to have the said reply placed on record.

8. The Registry is directed to ensure that the said reply is placed on record, once any technical objections raised by the Registry are removed.

9. If the objection of the Registry is with regard to delay in filing the reply, the said delay stands condoned.

10. It is further clarified that the order dated 20th January, 2026 shall read to include *I.A. 20414/2025* instead of *I.A. 39572/2024*.



11. Accordingly, list for hearing of I.A. 20414/2025 on 14th July, 2026 in Top Ten Matters in the *Advance List*.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

APRIL 17, 2026/KR