



\$~58 to 60

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 19005/2025 CM APPL. 79173/2025

+ W.P.(C) 19006/2025 CM APPL. 79176/2025

+ W.P.(C) 19007/2025 CM APPL. 79179/2025

WELCOME DISTILLERIES PRIVATE LIMITEDPetitioner

Through: Mr. Vijay Kumar Singh, Ms. Daizy Chawla & Mr. Shubhanshu Jolly, Advs.

versus

INCOME TAX OFFICER CENTRAL CIRCLE 8 DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sunil Agarwal, SSC with Mr. Monica Benjamin, JSC, Mr. Gibrah Naushad, JSC, Mr. Rohit Chakrabarty & Ms. Nancy Jain, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH MEHTA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR

ORDER

% **16.04.2026**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the issue involved in the writ petitions is squarely covered in petitioner's favour by the judgment rendered in the case of *Union of India v. Rajiv Bansal* reported in [(2024) 167 taxmann.com 70 (SC)].
2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted a weeks' time to examine the contention of the petition.
3. List these cases on 28.04.2026.

DINESH MEHTA, J

VINOD KUMAR, J

APRIL 16, 2026/sr