



\$~37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.REV.P. 24/2025 & CRL.M.A. 2046/2025**
RESHMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kirtiman Singh, Sr. Adv with Mr.
Rahul Sharma, Mr. Nitesh Kumar, Advs.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Pradeep Gahalot, APP
Insp. Neeraj Kumar, PS Anand Parbat

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

% **23.01.2025**

CRL.M.A. 2047/2025-EX.

Allowed subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

CRL.REV.P. 24/2025

1. This is a petition seeking to set aside / modify the order of charge dated 16.11.2024, wherein the accused, namely, Gurdeep alias Parveen and Hemraj alias Pappu were discharged for offences punishable under Sections 147/148/149/427/302/174A/34 of IPC read with Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act.
2. At the outset, Mr. Kirtiman Singh, learned senior counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, states that inadvertently accused persons namely Gurdeep alias Parveen and Hemraj alias Pappu were left out



from the memo of parties.

3. At his oral request, Gurdeep alias Parveen and Hemraj alias Pappu are impleaded as the respondent Nos. 2 and 3 respectively. Let amended memo of parties be filed.
4. A perusal of the impugned order shows that the learned Sessions Court, while discharging the accused persons has conducted final analysis based on a CCTV footage and arrived at a finding that the accused persons namely Gurdeep alias Parveen and Hemraj alias Pappu need to be discharged.
5. Once the ingredients of the offence have been made out in the FIR and in the charge sheet, to my mind, charges should have been framed.
6. Issue notice.
7. Mr. Gahalot, learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No.1.
8. On filing of amended memo of parties, let notice be issued to the newly impleaded respondent Nos. 2 and 3.
9. List on 12.02.2025.

JASMEET SINGH, J

JANUARY 23, 2025 / (MS)

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)