



\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 34/2025**

SHAMSUL BASHAR SIDDIQUE

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Abu Zar Ali, Adv. (appeared
through VC)

versus

MOHAMMAD TABREZ & ORS.

..... Defendant

Through: Advocate for D-3 (appearance
not given)

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. MANISH
SHARMA, (DHJS)

ORDER
05.03.2025

%

1. As per office note, service report of the summons issued to the defendant no.1 received back unserved with the report 'incomplete address'.
2. Service report of the summons issued to the defendant no.2 received back unserved with the report 'landmark is required as incomplete address'
3. Service report of the summons issued to the defendant no.1 received back unserved with the report 'left'. However, vakalatnama has been filed on behalf of defendant no.3.
4. Written statement, documents and affidavit of admission/denial of documents filed on behalf of def no.3 have been returned under objection. Learned counsel for the defendant no.3 is requested to check it



up with the Registrar and have the same placed on record.

5. Let the pleadings be completed as per law.
6. Learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that settlement talks are going on between the parties.
7. Learned counsel for the plaintiff further submits that he will file fresh and complete address of defendant nos.1 & 2 for their service.
8. Subject to filing of fresh address of defendant nos.1 & 2 by the plaintiff, issue fresh summons of the suit to the defendant nos.1 & 2, returnable on the next date of hearing. Dasti be given, as prayed for.
9. Re-notify the matter for completion of service, completion of pleadings and admission/denial of documents on **14th May, 2025**.

MANISH SHARMA, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

MARCH 5, 2025/nk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any