



\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 912/2025**

GAURAV JAIN & ANR.

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Vikas Mishra, Adv.

versus

NAVEEN JAIN & ORS.

..... Defendant

Through: Mr. Shiv Charan Garg & Ms.
Jahanvi Garg, Adv. for D-1 to 4

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. SUMIT
DALAL, (DHJS)

ORDER
16.02.2026

%

1. As per office note, defendant nos.1, 2 & 6 have been served on 31.01.2026. Defendant no.3, 4 & 5 have been served on 21.01.2026. Defendant no.8 has been served on 16.01.2026.
2. Service report of the summons issued to defendant no.7 received back unserved with the report 'no such company at the given address'.
3. Learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that defendant no.7 is residing at the same address and fresh summons may be directed to be issued to defendant no.7 and dasti summons may also be given
4. Affidavit of service and affidavit of compliance under Order 39 Rule 3 CPC have been filed by the plaintiff.
5. Learned counsel for defendant no.1 to 4 submits that she has filed written statement and affidavit of



admission/denial of documents. However, the same has not come on record. Let appropriate steps be taken to have the same placed on record.

6. Let the pleadings be completed within stipulated time period.
7. Issue fresh summons of the suit to the defendant no.7 through all permissible modes, returnable on the next date of hearing. Dasti be given, as prayed for.
8. Re-notify the matter for completion of service, completion of pleadings and admission/denial of documents on **01st April, 2026**.

SUMIT DALAL, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
FEBRUARY 16, 2026/nk