



\$~69

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1899/2025**
BRIG YOGESH KAPOOR (RETD) (IC-42779H)

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ajit Kakkar, Adv.
versus

SMT SUKRITI LIKHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Pratima N. Lakra, CGSC with
Mr. Shailendra Kumar Mishra, Mr.
Chanakya Kene, Ms. Mansi Aggarwal,
Adv.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER
12.12.2025

%

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 seeks the following prayers: -

“(a) To Initiate contempt proceeding against the alleged contemnor/Respondent for and deliberately contravening the Order dated 27.05.2025 in WP(C) 7376/2025.

(b) Direct the respondents to forthwith comply with the order of the Hon'ble AFT Delhi as well as of Hon'ble this High Court order dated 27.05.2025 along with interest of 12% or as deemed fit by the Hon'ble Court;

(c) Issue any other/further direction as this Hon'ble High Court may deem fit in the facts of the case.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that *vide* judgment dated 14.07.2023 in **OA 1117/2019**, the learned AFT, Principal Bench, New Delhi, passed the following directions: -



“15. Therefore, in view of our analysis, the OA is allowed and the Respondents are directed to ***grant benefit of disability element of pension @20% for life*** (for Chronic Myeloid Leukemia), rounded off to 50% for life in view of judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Union of India versus Ram Avtar (supra)*** from the date of discharge i.e 30.11.2018. The arrears shall be disbursed to the applicant within three months of receipt of this order failing which it shall earn interest @ 6% p.a. till the actual date of payment.

16. The prayer made vide the OA 1117/2019 dated 09.07.2019, filed within seven years from the date of retirement of the applicant on 31.11.2018, seeking direction to the respondents to conduct the Post Discharge Medical Board to assess the disability of the applicant is allowed.

17. The respondents are thus directed to conduct the Post Discharge Medical Board to assess the medical disability of the applicant within a period of three months from the date of the receipt of this order, whereafter the applicant would be entitled to seek redressal, if any, in accordance with law.”

4. Subsequently, the aforesaid judgment passed by the learned AFT was challenged before the learned Division Bench of this Court by the respondents in W.P.(C) 7376/2025 and *vide* judgment dated 27.05.2025, affirming the aforesaid judgment passed by the learned AFT, the learned Division Bench had observed as under: -

“10. In the limited parameters of the certiorari jurisdiction and keeping in view the facts of the case outlined hereinabove, we find no cause to interfere with the impugned judgment of AFT, which is affirmed in its entirety.”

5. Issue notice.

6. Learned counsel for the respondents accepts notice. Let a compliance report be filed before the next date of hearing. In case of non-compliance the concerned office shall remain present in the Court on the next date of hearing.



7. List on 11.03.2026.

AMIT SHARMA, J

DECEMBER 12, 2025/kr