



\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 902/2025**

RAGHAV TALWAR

.....Plaintiff

Through: Appearance not given.

versus

SH. SAMARTH SINGH TOMAR

.....Defendant

Through: None for D-1.

Ms. Yashna Malik, Adv. for D-2
(through VC)

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. GAGANDEEP
JINDAL (DHJS)**

ORDER

25.03.2026

%

Proceedings are being conducted through hybrid mode.

CS(OS) 902/2025

1. As per office noting, both defendants has been served.
2. As per affidavit of service filed on behalf of plaintiff, defendant no. 1 has been served with dasti summons on 15.12.2025 and through courier on 20.1.2026.
3. The outer limit of 120 days for filing written statement on behalf of defendant no. 1 has already been lapsed. Therefore, the right of defendant no.1 to file written statement and affidavit for admission/denial of documents is closed.
4. As per office noting, written statement filed on behalf of defendant no. 2 vide diary no. 119536/2026 is lying under objections. Let appropriate steps be taken in this regard.
5. It is open to the Plaintiff to file replications within 30



days from receipt of the written statements along with affidavit of admission/denial of documents filed by the Defendant no.2.

6. Re-notify the matter for completion of pleadings and further proceedings on **22nd July, 2026**.

**GAGANDEEP JINDAL (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

7. At this stage, Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 1 has appear and submits that written statement has been filed on behalf of defendant no. 1 but diary number has not been provided. He has been apprise about the order passed today.

**GAGANDEEP JINDAL (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

MARCH 25, 2026/PU

Click here to check corrigendum, if any