



\$~112

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 18769/2025**

MOHIT KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Rajat Kaushik, Advocate.**

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: **Mr. Neeraj Kumarh, CGSC with Mr. Shashwat, Advocate for R-1/UoI.**

Mr. Santosh Kr. Rout, SC for R-2/PNB.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER

10.12.2025

%

1. This writ petition is filed on behalf of the Petitioner under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India seeking the following reliefs:-

“a) Issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction directing Respondent No. 2 (PNB) to immediately unfreeze the Petitioner's bank account and permitting him to operate the same.

b) Direct Respondent No. 1 to place on record the status of any investigation, if pending, and the specific reasons for issuing a blanket freezing direction despite there being no specific allegation of the petitioner's involvement in any cyber offence.

c) Pass an order in favour of the petitioner and against the respondents declaring the blanket freezing of the Petitioner's salary account as arbitrary, illegal, disproportionate and violative of Article 21 of the Constitution;

d) Pass and order in favour of the petitioner and against the respondents, awarding costs of the present petition in favour of the petitioner, as the arbitrary and unjustified freezing of his sole salary account has caused grave financial and mental hardship to the petitioner.”

2. Issue notice.



3. Counsels, as above, accept notice on behalf of respective Respondents.
4. Counter affidavits be filed by Respondents No. 1 and 2 within four weeks from today. Rejoinders, if any, be filed before the next date.
5. Notice be issued to Respondent No. 3 through all permissible modes, returnable on 12.01.2026.

JYOTI SINGH, J

DECEMBER 10, 2025

S.Sharma