



\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 86/2014**

VIJAY KUMAR

..... Appellant

Through: Ms. Jhum Jhum Sarkar, Advocate with
son of the appellant in person.

versus

MEENA DEVI

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Padma Priya and Mr. Nishit Shah,
Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ASHA MENON

ORDER

% **23.07.2019**

1. The matter is ripe for arguments. However, it is pointed out that the TCR is not available. The Registry is directed to summon the TCR and tag it with the file of this appeal.
2. The marriage of the parties was solemnized in the year 1986. As per the appellant, they have been living separately since the year 1995 and as per the respondent, since the year 2005. The parties have a son, aged 32 years, who has all along been living with his father and by now, even he is married.
3. We are of the opinion that it is high time that the appellant and the respondent bury the hatchet by attempting to negotiate a one-time settlement instead of continuing their inter-se litigation. In furtherance thereto, it is deemed appropriate to direct the presence of the parties on the next date.

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 86/2014

Page 1 of 2



4. List on 17.9.2019.

HIMA KOHLI, J

ASHA MENON, J

JULY 23, 2019
ap

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 86/2014

Page 2 of 2