



\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(OS) 898/2025**
HILTI INDIA PRIVATE LIMITEDPlaintiff

Through: Ms. Ragini Sharma and Ms.
Kritya Sinha, Advocates.

versus

MR TARUN SINGH & ORS.Defendant
Through: Mr. Saurabh Kumar and Ms.
Vedanshi Jalan, Advocates for
D-3.

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. SUMIT DALAL

% **ORDER**
21.05.2026

1. As per service report, Defendant No. 1 remains unserved.
2. As per the report, the premises was found locked and upon enquiry from the neighbours, it was informed to the process server that the owner of property bearing No. B-314 is one Shri Suresh Chawla, who resides outside India.
3. It was further informed that no person by the name of Shri Tarun Singh, i.e., Defendant No. 1, resides at the given address.
4. Learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that Defendant No. 1 is available at the very same address where service had been attempted and is deliberately avoiding service.



5. Accordingly, prayer is made for another attempt of service at the same address along with dasti service.
6. In view of the submissions made, let another attempt of service upon Defendant No. 1 be made on the same address through all permissible modes.
7. Dasti service is also permitted as prayed for.
8. Attention of the Court is invited to para 5 of order dated 10.12.2025 whereby Defendant No. 2 had already been deleted from the array of parties.
9. Further, vide the same order dated 10.12.2025, Defendant No. 3 had been served by way of acceptance of summons before the Hon'ble Court.
10. Till date, no written statement has been filed on behalf of Defendant No. 3.
11. The extendable period of 120 days has already expired.
12. Accordingly, in terms of Chapter VII Rule 4 of the Delhi High Court (Original Side) Rules, 2018, the right of Defendant No. 3 to file written statement is hereby closed.
13. List for report of service qua Defendant No. 1 on 28.09.2026.

SUMIT DALAL
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

MAY 21, 2026/LP