



\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(OS) 1456/2013, I.A.11638/2013, I.A.8157/2014**
RAJ PURI & ANR

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Rajesh Rawal, Advocate.

versus

VINOD PURI & ANR

..... Defendant

Through: Defendant in person.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

15.07.2022

%

1. Learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that FAO(OS) No.311/2016 and CM.APPN.50077/2018 which was pending before the Division Bench has been decided on 21st October, 2019 and the appellant Vinod Puri has withdrawn his appeal pursuant to his application under Order 23 Rule 3 CPC. It is further submitted that the FAO was against an order under Order 6 Rule 17 passed by the learned Single Judge. Since, the appeal has been withdrawn, the evidence in that suit is being recorded.
2. The defendant No.1 Vinod Puri who is present in person submits that in view of the order of the Division Bench dated 21st October, 2019, this matter cannot be continued as the withdrawal was because of a settlement between the parties. However, the settlement has not been accepted by any Court or endorsed before any Court. Also, as submitted, the evidence in the



suit from which the appeal had emanated is also being proceeded by recording of evidence. There is no ground for not proceeding with this matter.

3. In the circumstances, the matter be listed before the Joint Registrar for P.E on 01st September, 2022.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

JULY 15, 2022
va