



\$~20

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **CS(COMM) 1204/2024**  
**ADATA TECHNOLOGY CO. LTD**

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Gaurav Bahl (VC), Advocate

versus

**UR DISTREE PVT LTD & ANR.** .....Defendant

Through: Mr. Sudarshan Singh Shekhawat,  
Advocate

**CORAM:**  
**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) Dr. AJAY GULATI**

**ORDER**

% **04.03.2025**

**I.A. No. 5776/2025 on behalf of defendants seeking  
condonation of delay of 1 day in filing the reply to application  
under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 filed by the plaintiff.**

1. Issue notice. Notice accepted by Id. Counsel for the plaintiff. Id. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that delay is not of one day but much more. However, he further submits that if the application is to be allowed, same should be subject to imposition of a cost.

2. For the reasons stated in the application, application is allowed subject to cost of Rs. 500/-, to be deposited with Delhi High Court Bar Clerk's Association, Delhi High Court, within three weeks failing which the reply shall be deemed to have been taken off the record. Delay stands condoned. Reply is taken on record. IA stands disposed off.

**Dr. AJAY GULATI**  
**(DHJS),**

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

**MARCH 4, 2025/sk**

*Click here to check corrigendum, if any*