



\$~94

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1873/2025**

BALBEER SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Arun Kumar Verma, Adv.
(through v/c)

versus

SHRI ASHWANI KUMAR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Kirti Uppal, Sr. Adv., Mr.
Amanullah, Mr. Misbah-Bin-Tariq
and Ms. Asmita Shukla, Adv.
Mr. Kapil Dutta, SC, MCD.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

% **23.04.2026**

CM APPL.26833/2026 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CM APPL.26832/2026 (restoration of main case)

3. The present application has been filed on the allegation that the necessary demolition action has not been taken by the Municipal Corporation of Delhi (MCD).
4. However, during the course of hearing, Mr. Kapil Dutta, learned counsel appearing for the MCD, submits that the property in question had been sealed even prior to the filing of the contempt petition and that some demolition action has already been taken. He further submits that further demolition action to raze the entire unauthorized construction to the ground, shall be taken within a period of two months from today.
5. It is clarified that the property with respect to which action is to be



taken is clearly set out in the order dated 15.09.2025, viz., S-18 to 16, Village Joga Bai, Okhla, New Delhi-110025.

6. In view of the aforesaid statement, this Court is not inclined to accept the present application for revival of the contempt petition, inasmuch as no wilful disobedience can be inferred on the part of the MCD at this stage.

7. The application is accordingly disposed of taking on record the aforesaid statement made by learned counsel for the MCD.

SACHIN DATTA, J

APRIL 23, 2026/cl