



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 1664/2025

JAI PRAKASH @ SUNNY

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Satish Chand and Ms. Sakshi
Bansal, Advocates.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Ritesh Kumar Bahri, APP for
State.
Ms. Anu Narula Advocate DHCSLC
for Survivor (Victim).

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MADHU JAIN

ORDER

%

10.06.2026

CRL.M. (BAIL) 1125/2026 (under Section 389 Cr.P.C read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. and Sections 430 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 & 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking Interim Suspension of Sentence)

1. An Application has been filed on behalf of the Appellant seeking Interim Suspension of Sentence for a period of eight weeks on the ground that for the last 11 years the Appellant has not been ever released on Bail.
2. Learned counsel for the Respondent has opposed the Application for Suspension of Sentence on the ground that the matter has been heard by the Regular Roster Bench. On 29.04.2026 despite an opportunity, no arguments were addressed on behalf of the Appellant.
3. Reply to the Application be filed before the next date of hearing.



4. List before the regular Roster Bench on 08.07.2026.

**NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA
(VACATION JUDGE)**

**MADHU JAIN
(VACATION JUDGE)**

JUNE 10, 2026/va