



\$~72

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 8718/2025 & CRL.M.A. 36376/2025,
CRL.M.A. 36377/2025

SAYED DANISH AZEEMPetitioner

Through: Ms. Aastha Gupta, Mr.
A.F.Faizi, Mr. Shahzeb
and Mr. Amit Singh,
Advocates.

versus

STATE (GOVT. NCT OF DELHI)

& ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Raj Kumar, APP for
the State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

05.12.2025

1. The petitioner challenges the order dated 14.07.2025 (**'impugned order'**), passed by learned Family Judge Court-01, North-East, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi, pursuant to which warrant of attachment was issued in regard to the moveable assets of the petitioner.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that the petitioner is limiting his prayer only to the extent that some extra time may be granted for payment of arrears. He submits that the petitioner is not challenging the payment of maintenance amount. However, is only seeking some time for paying the arrears.
3. Issue notice.
4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State accepts notice.



5. Issue notice to respondent no.2 through all permissible modes, returnable on 23.01.2026.

6. On the petitioner continue paying monthly maintenance and depositing 50% of the arrears within a period of four weeks, the operation of the impugned order is stayed till the next date of hearing.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

DECEMBER 5, 2025

DU