



\$~20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 18514/2025**
KENDRIYA VIDYALAYA SANGATHAN THROUGH ITS
COMMISSIONER & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Mr.S.Rajappa, Mr. R.
Gowrishankar, Ms. G.
Dhivyasri, Advs.

versus

RUPPCY LANGERRespondent
Through: Nemo

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

% **ORDER**
05.12.2025

CM APPLs.76760-61/2025 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 18514/2025 & CM APPLs. 76758/2025, 76759/2025

2. This petition has been filed, challenging the Order dated 06.03.2025 passed by the learned Central Administrative Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the 'Tribunal') in O.A. No. 2578/2023, titled ***Smt. Ruppey Langer v. Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan & Ors.***, allowing the said O.A. filed by the respondent herein.

3. Issue notice to the respondents, to be served through all permissible modes, returnable on 23rd March, 2026.

4. Counter affidavit be filed within a period of four weeks from today/receipt of the notice. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within a



period of three weeks thereafter.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in the earlier O.A. No.973/2022, titled ***Smt. Ruppey Langer v. Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan & Anr.***, filed by the respondent challenging her transfer order, there was no *interim* order staying the transfer. He submits that therefore, the respondent, notwithstanding the pendency of the said O.A., should have joined the transfer posting.

6. He further submits that in the Order dated 14.09.2022 passed by the learned Tribunal while disposing of the said O.A., there was no direction that the period between 29.10.2021 to 21.02.2023 is to be treated as one spent on duty. Keeping all the facts in mind, a decision was therefore taken by the petitioners treating the said period against leave which was due to the respondent. He submits that therefore, the learned Tribunal has erred in now directing the said period to be considered as one spent on duty and not to be adjusted against the leave due to the respondent.

7. Keeping in view the above submissions, there shall be a stay on the enforcement of the Impugned Order, till further Orders of this Court.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

DECEMBER 5, 2025/Arya