



\$~10

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RC.REV. 399/2024, CM APPL. 75894/2024

NAVEEN MADAN & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Counsel (appearance not given)

versus

SUNITA KUMAR CHABBRA & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Neeraj Bhardwaj, Adv.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE**

**ORDER**

%

**07.11.2025**

1. Though this Court has heard learned counsel for the petitioners/ tenants substantially, however, she is yet to conclude her argument.
2. Renotify on 13.01.2026.
3. Learned counsel for the parties seek, and are granted, a period of *four weeks* for filing the written synopsis in compliance of the earlier order.
4. In the meanwhile, without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the petitioners/ tenants and as a matter of abundant caution, learned counsel for the petitioners/ tenants shall also seek instructions within a period of *four weeks qua* the feasible time period within which the petitioners/ tenants would vacate the subject premises and hand over the possession thereof to the respondents/ landlords, along with the terms of payment *qua* user and occupation charges for the aforesaid period before the next date of hearing.

**SAURABH BANERJEE, J**

**NOVEMBER 7, 2025/Ab**