



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 1033/2024**

SHYAM SUNDER SAWHNEY

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Meenal with Mr. Aakash, Mr.
Keshav and Mr. Rishikesh, Advs.

versus

RAKHI SAWHNEY

.....Defendant

Through: Ms. Madhu Sharma, Adv.

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. KISHOR KUMAR (DHJS)

ORDER

% **03.09.2025**

*Matter is taken up through physical hearing as well as video conferencing.
Regular steno is on leave.*

I.A 17095/2025(u/s 5 of Limitation Act filed by Defendant)

Learned counsel for the plaintiff without filing any reply to the present application state that the same may be allowed but subject to cost.

Heard. For the reasons assigned in the application and in view of the submissions of Ld. counsel for the plaintiff, the present application is hereby allowed and delay if any in refilling the reply to the application under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 CPC is hereby condoned and the reply to the application under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 CPC is taken on record subject to cost of Rs.2500/- to be paid by defendant to plaintiff.

Put up before Hon'ble Court on 17.09.2025 the date already fixed.

**KISHOR KUMAR (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

SEPTEMBER 3, 2025/mj