



\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 1029/2024 & I.As. 49453/2024, 49454/2024, 49455/2024**

PAVAN SACHDEVA & ORS.

.....Plaintiffs

Through: Mr. Askhay Makhija, Sr. Advocate
and Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Sr.
Advocate with Mr. Vineet Kumar,
Mr. Gitesh Chopra and Mr. Siddharth
Chopra, Advocates

versus

SUDHIR POWER LIMITED & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Manish Kumar and Ms. Aparajita
Jha, Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

% 23.12.2024

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the defendant nos. 1 to 3 states that the averments in the suit that the plaintiff is in actual physical possession of the property at Serial No. 4 and Serial No. 8 is incorrect.

1.1. He states that infact proceedings are listed today before SDM, Gurugram and in fact, an order has been passed in the said proceedings returning a finding that the possession of the said properties is with the defendants herein.

1.2. He states that defendant nos. 1 to 3 would also place on record the statement of plaintiff no. 1 recorded before the Police Station Civil Lines confirming the due handover of the possession of the above said properties to the defendants herein; and the consequent withdrawal of the complaint



before the said Police Station.

2. The plaintiff is directed to place before this Court the order passed by SDM, Gurugram.

3. At request of the learned senior counsel for the plaintiff, list on **24.12.2024.**

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

DECEMBER 23, 2024/rhc/sk