



\$~8 to 10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.REV.P. 499/2025**

SATYAPRIYA LAKHOTIA & ORS.Petitioners
Through: Mr. Vivek Kohli, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Archit Upadhayay, Ms. Nikita
Maheshwari and Ms. Vasudha
Chadha, Advocates
versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Hemant Mehla, APP for the State
with SI Rahul, PS EOW
Mr. Kunal Sharma and Ms. Rishika
Goyal, Advocates for R-2

9

+ **CRL.REV.P. 501/2025**

SATYAPRIYA LAKHOTIA & ORS.Petitioners
Through: Mr. Vivek Kohli, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Archit Upadhayay, Ms. Nikita
Maheshwari and Ms. Vasudha
Chadha, Advocates
versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Hemant Mehla, APP for the State
with SI Rahul, PS EOW
Mr. Kunal Sharma and Ms. Rishika
Goyal, Advocates for R-2

10

+ **CRL.REV.P. 502/2025**

SATYAPRIYA LAKHOTIA & ORS.Petitioners
Through: Mr. Vivek Kohli, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Archit Upadhayay, Ms. Nikita
Maheshwari and Ms. Vasudha



Chadha, Advocates

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Hemant Mehla, APP for the State
with SI Rahul, PS EOW
Mr. Kunal Sharma and Ms. Rishika
Goyal, Advocates for R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

%

20.01.2026

CRL.M.A. 35938/2025 (exemption) in CRL.REV.P. 499/2025

CRL.M.A. 36003/2025 (exemption) in CRL.REV.P. 501/2025

CRL.M.A. 36006/2025 (exemption) in CRL.REV.P. 502/2025

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CRL.M.A. 35939/2025 (for condonation of delay of 76 days in re-filing of the revision petition) in CRL.REV.P. 499/2025

CRL.M.A. 36004/2025 (for condonation of delay of 76 days in re-filing the revision petition) in CRL.REV.P. 501/2025

CRL.M.A. 36007/2025 (for condonation of delay of 76 days in re-filing the revision petition) in CRL.REV.P. 502/2025

2. For the reasons mentioned therein, the applications are allowed and delay in re-filing the petitions is condoned.

CRL.M.A. 35937/2025 (stay) in CRL.REV.P. 499/2025

CRL.M.A. 36002/2025 (stay) in CRL.REV.P. 501/2025

CRL.M.A. 36005/2025 (stay) in CRL.REV.P. 502/2025

3. The revisionists have assailed discharge of the accused persons (*arrayed as private respondent herein*) in case FIR No. 169/2018 of Police Station EOW South-East. The accused persons are directors of the company which has not been impleaded in the present case. Broadly speaking, the



revisional court set aside the magisterial order of framing charges.

4. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for private respondent accept notice. The digitized record of the trial court be requisitioned.
5. Relist on 27.04.2026 in Advance List.

JANUARY 20, 2026

‘rs’

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J