



\$~101 & 102

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 18209/2025

M/S SMEC INDIA PVT. LTD.Petitioner

Through: Ms. Pragati Keshri, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORSRespondents

Through: Ms Arunima Dwivedi CGSC Ms
Himanshi Singh and Ms Monalisha
Pradhan Advocates for UOI.
Mr. Santosh Kumar, Standing
Counsel and Mr. Adithya Ramani
Advocate for R-2/ NHAI.

102

+ W.P.(C) 18394/2025

M/S MSV INTERNATIONAL INCPetitioner

Through: Ms. Pragati Keshri, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA THROUGH THE SECRETARY
MINISTRY OF ROAD TRANSPORT AND
HIGHWAYS TRANSPORT BHAWANRespondent

Through: Ms. Shiva Lakshmi and Mr. Madhav
Bajaj, Advocates for R-1/ UOI.
Mr. Santosh Kumar, Standing
Counsel and Mr. Adithya Ramani
Advocate for R-2/NHAI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV



%

ORDER
10.03.2026

CM APPL. 14464/2026 (For clarification/ modification of order dated 24.02.2026)

CM APPL. 14457/2026 (For clarification/ modification of order dated 24.02.2026)

1. The present applications have been filed seeking following relief:

“Prayer in CM APPL. 14464/2026

a. modify and/or clarify the order dated 24.02.2026 to the extent that the interim order 18.12.2025, previously granted by this Hon’ble Court, shall continue to operate till the next date of hearing;

Prayer in CM APPL. 14457/2026

a. modify and/or clarify the order dated 24.02.2026 to the extent that the interim order 11.12.2025, previously granted by this Hon’ble Court, shall continue to operate till the next date of hearing.”

2. Inadvertently, the interim orders could not be continued in order dated 24.02.2026.

3. Accordingly, let the interim orders be treated to have been continued in order dated 24.02.2026.

4. With the aforesaid clarification, the applications stand disposed of.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

MARCH 10, 2026

aks