



\$~13 to 16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LA.APP. 330/2014

SH. ATTAR SINGH & ORS

.....Appellants

Through:

Mr. L.B. Rai, Mr. Kartik Rai, Mr. Rohit Kumar Poddar, Mr. Satvik Rai, Mr. Vineesh Tyagi, Mr. Nikhil Chaudhary, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR

.....Respondents

Through:

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, SC with Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S. Akhtar, Advs. for R-1/UOI

14

+ LA.APP. 34/2014

VIJAY SINGH & ORS

.....Appellants

Through:

Mr. L.B. Rai, Mr. Kartik Rai, Mr. Rohit Kumar Poddar, Mr. Satvik Rai, Mr. Vineesh Tyagi, Mr. Nikhil Chaudhary, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

.....Respondents

Through:

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, SC with Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S. Akhtar, Advs. for R-1/UOI
Mr. M.K. Singh, Adv. for R-2/DDA

15

+ LA.APP. 58/2014

GIANENDER KUMAR

.....Appellant

Through:

Mr. L.B. Rai, Mr. Kartik Rai, Mr. Rohit Kumar Poddar, Mr. Satvik Rai, Mr. Vineesh Tyagi, Mr. Nikhil Chaudhary, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

.....Respondents

Through:

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, SC with Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S.



16

+ LA.APP. 152/2014
MANGE RAM

Akhtar, Advs. for R-1/UOI
Mr. Kunal Sharma, Ms. Swati Yadav,
Advs. for R-2/DDA

Through:Appellant
Mr. L.B. Rai, Mr. Kartik Rai, Mr.
Rohit Kumar Poddar, Mr. Satvik Rai,
Mr. Vineesh Tyagi, Mr. Nikhil
Chaudhary, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORSRespondents
Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, SC with
Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S.
Akhtar, Advs. for R-1/UOI
Mr. Kunal Sharma, Ms. Swati Yadav,
Advs.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHAIL JAIN

ORDER
29.01.2026

%

CM APPL. 69979/2025 (Directions)in LA.APP. 330/2014
CM APPL. 67035/2025 (Directions)in LA.APP. 34/2014
CM APPL. 67041/2025 (Directions) in LA.APP. 58/2014
CM APPL. 70509/2025 (Directions) in LA.APP. 152/2014

1. The present Applications has been filed on behalf of the Appellants seeking a direction that the present Appeals be decided in terms of the Judgment dated 26th September, 2025, passed by this Court in L.A. Appeal No. 59/2007, titled “*Bed Ram v. Union of India & Ors.*”
2. Learned Counsel appearing for the Appellants submits that L.A. Appeal No. 59/2007, being the lead matter, squarely applies to the facts and circumstances of the present case and, therefore, the judgment dated 26th September, 2025 ought to be applied to the present Appeal. Per contra, learned



Counsel appearing for the Union of India objects to the said submission and contends that the facts of the two cases are distinct. It is further submitted that Village Ghonda Gujran Khadar, which is involved in the present Appeals, is not covered by the judgment in L.A. Appeal No. 59/2007, wherein the villages in question were Village Khizarabad, Village Kilokari, Village Nangli Razapur, and Village Garhi Mendu.

3. Learned Counsel for the Respondent seeks time to file a reply to the Applications.
4. Accordingly, the Reply to the Applications shall be filed within a period of four weeks. Rejoinder thereto, if any, shall be filed within a further period of four weeks thereafter.
5. List before the learned Joint Registrar on 20th April, 2026 for the completion of pleadings.
6. List before the Court on 28th July, 2026.

SHAIL JAIN, J

JANUARY 29, 2026

Pallavi/MM