



\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(COMM) 233/2016

SURINDER NAYYAR

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Anusuya Salwan, Mr. Chaitanya
Bansal, Mr. Bankim Garg and Mr.
Shaikab Khan, Advocates.

versus

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION Defendant

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

%

24.03.2022

1. The matter is now ripe for final arguments.
2. Re-notify on 13th July, 2022.
3. The parties are directed to file a brief note of submissions, not exceeding five pages, along with relevant case law(s) which shall be put in a convenience file, jointly prepared amongst the counsel for the parties. The brief note of submissions must indicate the following: (i) the exact dispute before the Ld. Arbitral Tribunal, (ii) the claims in respect of which the Petitioner is aggrieved, (iii) the decision as well as the reasoning of the Ld. Arbitral Tribunal, *qua* such claims, with reference to the relevant para and page numbers of the impugned award, and (iv) grounds as to why the decision of the Ld. Arbitral Tribunal merits/ does not merit interference, in view of Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 and the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in respect of each ground of



challenge raised. Convenience compilation must also include all relevant pleadings, documents, and evidence on which the counsel for the parties seek to rely upon. The said convenience file shall also be handed over to the Ld. Court Master within the same timelines and be filed within a period of two weeks from today.

4. The Petitioner is also directed to file a gist of award, not exceeding five pages, within the aforementioned timelines.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

MARCH 24, 2022

d.negi