



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ RFA(COMM) 666/2025, CM APPL. 75064/2025 & CM APPL.
75065/2025

M/S SAB IMPEX PVT LTD

.....APPELLANT

Through: Mr. Priyam Pandey, Mr. Pankaj
Sharma, Mr. Saransh Jain, Ms. Nikita
Nautiyal and Ms. Ashma, Advocates.

versus

M/S SKM CAPACITORS

.....RESPONDENT

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

22.01.2026

%

1. We have heard learned counsel for the appellant/original plaintiff.
2. The suit preferred by the appellant being CS (COMM.) 495/2023 for recovery of sum of Rs.10,27,825/- with 24% interest came to be partly decreed under the impugned judgment and decree.
3. It is the case of the appellant that the decree passed by the learned Trial Court is based on the observations that the appellant has failed to produce the opening balance *qua* the period from 06th October, 2018 and 01st April, 2019. Similarly, the appellant has failed to produce the purchase or the receipts thereof.
4. It appears that the appellant has moved an application for bringing the ledger entries and sale purchase receipts on record. However, the same was



rejected by the Commercial Court. According to him, he has already moved an application under Order 41 Rule 27 of the CPC to bring additional evidence to that effect on record.

5. Let the appellant take appropriate steps in the matter if so required, by removing office objections on the said application within a period of four weeks from today.

6. List for consideration on 14th April, 2026.

NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE, J

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

JANUARY 22, 2026

Sk/av