



\$~75 to 77

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 17408/2024

DILIP KUMAR JATPetitioner
Through: Ms. Amrita Mishra, Mr. Ravi
Mishra and Mr. Piyush Kumar, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr. Sandeep Tyagi, SPC with
Mr. Ankur Adv GP.

+ W.P.(C) 17409/2024

RAJESH KATEPetitioner
Through: Ms. Amrita Mishra, Mr. Ravi
Mishra and Mr. Piyush Kumar, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr. Ramjee Pandey, SPC, and
Mr. Rishav Dubey GP.

+ W.P.(C) 17448/2024

MOHIT KUMARPetitioner
Through: Ms. Amrita Mishra, Mr. Ravi
Mishra and Mr. Piyush Kumar, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr. Subodh Kumar Kaushik,
SPC and Ms. Chhaya Sharma, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE OM PRAKASH SHUKLA

ORDER



% **13.08.2025**

1. Issue notice to show cause as to why rule *nisi* be not issued.
2. Notice is accepted on behalf of the respondents by Mr. Sandeep Tyagi, in WP(C) 17408/2024, by Mr. Ramjee Pandey in WP(C) 17409/2024 and by Mr. Subodh Kumar Kaushik in WP(C) 17448/2024.
3. Counter affidavit, if any, be filed within four weeks with advance copy to learned Counsel for the petitioner, who may file rejoinder thereto, if any, within four weeks thereof.
4. List on 27 October 2025 in the supplementary list.
5. As the case relates to retiral benefits, no extension of time for filing counter-affidavit or rejoinder would be granted.

C. HARI SHANKAR, J

OM PRAKASH SHUKLA, J

AUGUST 13, 2025/AT