



\$~75 to 77

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 17408/2024

DILIP KUMAR JATPetitioner

Through: Ms. Amrita Mishra, Mr. Ravi Mishra and Mr. Piyush Kumar, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Sandeep Tyagi, SPC with Mr. Ankur Adv GP.

+ W.P.(C) 17409/2024

RAJESH KATEPetitioner

Through: Ms. Amrita Mishra, Mr. Ravi Mishra and Mr. Piyush Kumar, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Ramjee Pandey, SPC, and Mr. Rishav Dubey GP.

+ W.P.(C) 17448/2024

MOHIT KUMARPetitioner

Through: Ms. Amrita Mishra, Mr. Ravi Mishra and Mr. Piyush Kumar, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Subodh Kumar Kaushik, SPC and Ms. Chhaya Sharma, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE OM PRAKASH SHUKLA

ORDER



% **13.08.2025**

1. Issue notice to show cause as to why rule *nisi* be not issued.
2. Notice is accepted on behalf of the respondents by Mr. Sandeep Tyagi, in WP(C) 17408/2024, by Mr. Ramjee Pandey in WP(C) 17409/2024 and by Mr. Subodh Kumar Kaushik in WP(C) 17448/2024.
3. Counter affidavit, if any, be filed within four weeks with advance copy to learned Counsel for the petitioner, who may file rejoinder thereto, if any, within four weeks thereof.
4. List on 27 October 2025 in the supplementary list.
5. As the case relates to retiral benefits, no extension of time for filing counter-affidavit or rejoinder would be granted.

C. HARI SHANKAR, J

OM PRAKASH SHUKLA, J

AUGUST 13, 2025/AT