



\$~69 & 70

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(COMM) 277/2016

M/S CAPITAL LAND BUILDERS (P) LTDPlaintiff

Through: Mr. Shalabh Gupta and Mr. Ashish
Negi and Ms. Deepsikha, Advocates.

versus

M/S BHARAT PETROLEUM CORP LTDDefendant

Through: Mr. Vinayak Batta, Advocate for D-2

(70)

+ CS(COMM) 845/2018

M/S HIGHWAY SERVICE STATIONPlaintiff

Through: Mr. Vinayak Batta, Advocate.

versus

BHARAT PETROLEUM CORPORATION LTD. & ANR

.....Defendants

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

% **04.12.2025**

I.A. 30356/2025 (Exemption) in CS(COMM) 277/2016

I.A. 30354/2025 (Exemption) in CS(COMM) 845/2018

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

I.A. 30355/2025 in CS(COMM) 277/2016

I.A. 30353/2025 in CS(COMM) 845/2018

1. These applications under Section 152 of CPC have been filed on



behalf of Defendant No.2 seeking correction of a typographical mistake in Paragraph No.11 of the Order dated 27.11.2025 passed by this Court.

2. Paragraph No.11 of the Order dated 27.11.2025 passed by this Court reads as under:

“11. At this juncture, this Court is not inclined to allow these applications. However, considering the fact that the Director of the Plaintiff Company, who is around 82 years old, is to be examined, the learned Local Commissioner is requested to expedite the hearing and ensure that the questions are put strictly in conformity with the Indian Evidence Act, 1872.”

3. It is stated that inadvertently, in Paragraph No.11, it has been recorded that “Director of the Plaintiff Company, who is around 82 years old, is to be examined”, however, it is stated that it is the Plaintiff, who claims to be the sole proprietor of M/s Highway Service Station, is to be examined.

4. In view of the above, Paragraph No.11 of the Order dated 27.11.2025 is modified to the aforesaid extent.

5. Let the Order dated 27.11.2025 and the present Order be read conjointly.

6. The applications are disposed of.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

DECEMBER 04, 2025

S. Zakir