



\$~73

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 1895/2012**

MAYA SHANKER GUPTA

.....Plaintiff

Through: **Mr. S.D.Dixit and Mr. Swarup Raj,**
Advs.

versus

SHAKUNTALA GUPTA & ORS

.....Defendants

Through: **Mr. Nitin Gupta, Adv. for D-5A**
Mr. Ashok Gupta, Adv. for D-5b.
Mr. Ankit Banati and Mr. Vikas
Maini, Advs. for D- 5(a) and 5(b)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

%

07.11.2025

I.A. 37067/2024, I.A. 4457/2025, I.A. 4458/2025, I.A. 4874/2025, I.A.
18677/2025

1. Learned Counsel appearing for the proposed Defendant No.9 states that a copy of the application filed under Order XXXIX Rules 1 & 2 of CPC has not been served upon him.
2. Learned Counsel for the Plaintiff is directed to supply the entire copy of the plaint along with all the documents and all the applications filed therein to the learned Counsel for Defendant No.9 during the course of the day at the address supplied to the learned Counsel for the Plaintiff during the course of hearing today.
3. List on 13.11.2025 in the supplementary list.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

NOVEMBER 7, 2025

S. Zakir