



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ RFA 422/2007 & CM APPL.10806/2007
D.D.A.Appellant

Through: Ms. Latika Malhotra, Advocate

versus

KAMLA PAHWA & ORSRespondent

Through: Ms. Neetu Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. S.K. Bhaduri, Advocate for
R-1 & 2

Mr. Ahmed Jamal Siddiqui,
proxy counsel for Mr. Manu
Chaturvedi, Advocate for MCD

CORAM: MS. KAUSHLYA VERMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

% **25.09.2025**

1. Ms. Neetu Gupta, Advocate appearing for the respondent Nos.1 and 2 submits that respondent No.2 was unmarried and had no LRs. However, in para 8 of the affidavit filed by the learned counsel, it is stated that Deepak Pahwa and Smt. Geeta Pahwa are the only LRs of deceased respondent Nos.1 and 2, which is contrary to the statement of learned counsel for the respondents.
2. Learned counsel for the respondents seeks some time to correct the record.
3. Re-notify on 26.02.2026.

REGISTRAR

SEPTEMBER 25, 2025

vk