



\$~57

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 999/2024 I.A. 48312/2024 I.A. 48313/2024

I.A. 48314/2024.

SH NARESH KUMAR

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Siddharth Yadav and Ms. Ishita
Yadav, Mr. Deepak Kumar Mishra,
Advocates with plaintiff in person

versus

K.S.M. PUBLIC SCHOOL & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Ms. Askini Shoken, Advocates for D-
6,7&8.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

17.02.2025

%

1. Pursuant to previous order dated 16th December, 2024, counsel for plaintiff has not presented anything which had been directed by the said order, *inter alia*, in *paragraph 5* and *paragraph 11*.
2. Counsel for defendant nos. 4, 5 & 9 appears along with defendant nos. 4 & 5, who are present in person. They state that they purchased this property from the previous owners somewhere in 1995, and currently, *KSM Public School* is situated on the said property, which is located in about *1300 Sq. yards*.
3. Counsel appearing on behalf of defendant nos. 6, 7, and 8 states that they purchased the land from defendant no.5, and defendant nos. 6-7 have *100 Sq. yards* each, whereas defendant no.8 has about *250 Sq. yards*.



4. Counsel for defendant no. 1 states that there are other purchasers of the said property, and the total land area is about 2600 Sq. yards. Out of the 2600 Sq. yards, 1300 Sq. yards is occupied by the school, whereas the remaining balance 1300 Sq. yards has been sold to various other purchasers.
5. Counsel for plaintiff wishes to make out his case, as stated in the order dated 16th December 2024. List on 21st May, 2025.
6. If any filing is done by counsel for plaintiff, advance copy be served to counsel for defendants.
7. Summons have not been issued in the matter.
8. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

FEBRUARY 17, 2025/RK/tk