



\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 8364/2025 & CRL.M.A. 34874/2025

MR. G

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Kajal Singhal, Mr. Kumar
Shubham Kushwaha, Advocates.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Hitesh Vali, APP with Ms.
Pragati Gupta, Advocate for State.
Ms. Inderjeet Siddhu, Mr. Lalit
Choudhary, Advocates for
prosecutrix.
SI Veena, PS – SP Badli, with
Victim.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

% **05.01.2026**

1. Further to the order dated 24.11.2025, the victim is present in Court. Ms. Inderjeet Siddhu, learned Amicus Curiae, submits that she has also conferred with the victim, and reiterates her contentions as recorded in the impugned order of the learned Sessions Court.
2. It appears, *prima facie*, from the birth certificate of the victim issued by the Municipal Corporation of Delhi that she was born on 07.09.2008. The birth was registered within one year thereafter, on 03.09.2009, although the birth certificate was issued later, on 17.04.2013. The petitioner's contention that the school records reflect a younger age on account of false documents is, therefore, not *prima facie* tenable. On



the facts stated in FIR No. 728/2021, which was registered at the instance of the victim's father (the petitioner herein), the victim was approximately 14 years old at the time of the alleged offence. I am informed that there is an age gap of approximately 15 to 16 years between the victim and the accused.

3. The victim, who, according to the birth certificate, is now 17 years of age, has a nine-month-old child.
4. Issue notice. Hitesh Vali, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, accepts notice on behalf of the State.
5. Respondent No. 2 be served through the Investigating Officer.
6. List on 21.01.2026.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

JANUARY 5, 2026
'Bhupi/JM' /