



\$~22

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
+ RSA 50/2012
DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

..... Appellant

Through: Ms. Shobhana Takiar and Mr.
Kuljeet Singh, Advs.

versus

HARI SON OF SHRI BHARTA

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Ankit Sinsinwar, Adv.

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) BHAWANI SHARMA

ORDER

%

26.04.2024

CM APPL. 21335/2016 (for impleadment of LR of the deceased respondent)

CM APPL. 21336/2016 (for condonation of delay)

Reply to the present application has already been filed. Learned counsel for appellant submits that he has not received the copy of reply.

Learned counsel for respondent controverted the submissions stating that copy of reply has already been supplied twice, however, in order to avoid inordinate delay, he undertakes to supply again. Heard. Let the same be supplied within one week.

Thereafter, Rejoinder, if any, be filed within two weeks after supplying the advance copy to the opposite side.

Renotify on 21.08.2024.

CM No. 35056/2023 (delay in filing the reply)

Renotify on 21.08.2024.

**BHAWANI SHARMA
JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

APRIL 26, 2024/yo