



\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CO.PET. 248/2009**

N & S & N CONSULTANTS S.R.O

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Amrita Singh, Advocate for
Applicant in CA 4096/2016.

versus

SRM EXPLORATION PRIVATE LIMITED

..... Respondent

Through: Dr. U.K. Chaudhary, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Sandeep Khurana and Ms. Harshita
Agarwal, Advocates.
Mr. Pavan Narang, Ms. Vasundhara
Chauhan and Ms. Aditi Pradhan,
Advocates for Applicant in CA 641/2016
and CA 1876/2015.
Mr. Mayank Goel, Advocate for OL.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SIDDHARTH MRIDUL

ORDER

%

07.02.2017

CO.APPL. 4949/2016

Present is an application under Rule 9 of the Companies (Court) Rules, 1959 on behalf of the respondent/non-applicant praying for condonation of delay in filing reply to CA No. 1285/2015.

Notice. Mr. Mayank Goel, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Official Liquidator, accepts notice and fairly does not oppose this application.

For the reasons stated in the application, the delay of 119 days' in filing reply to CA No.1285/2015 is condoned and the same is taken on record.

The application stands disposed of.

CO.APPL. 4950/2016

Present is an application under Rule 9 of the Companies (Court) Rules, 1959 on behalf of the respondent/non-applicant praying for condonation of



delay in filing reply to CA No. 641/2016.

Notice. Mr. Mayank Goel, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Official Liquidator, accepts notice and fairly does not oppose this application.

For the reasons stated in the application, the delay of 119 days' in filing reply to CA No.641/2016 is condoned and the same is taken on record.

The application stands disposed of.

CO.APPL. 260/2017

Present is an application under Rule 9 of the Companies (Court) Rules, 1959 on behalf of the non-applicants/Ex-directors praying for condonation of delay in filing reply to CA No. 4096/2016.

Notice. Mr. Mayank Goel, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Official Liquidator and Ms. Amrita Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant in CA No.4096/2016, accept notice and fairly do not oppose this application.

For the reasons stated in the application, the delay of 20 days' in filing reply to CA No.4096/2016 is condoned and the same is taken on record.

The application stands disposed of.

CO.APPL. 4096/2016

Counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant prays for time to file rejoinder to the reply filed on behalf of the Ex-Directors and the Official Liquidator.

Let the rejoinder be filed within four weeks.

Renotify on 28.03.2017

OLR 458/2014

Mr. Mayank Goel, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Official Liquidator, states that the present OLR has been rendered *infructuous* in of the circumstance that a fresh OLR being OLR 102/2015 has been filed on their behalf.

OLR 458/2014 is disposed of accordingly.



OLR 102/2015

Mr. Khurana, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Ex-Directors, prays for time to file a response to the report filed on behalf of the Official Liquidator.

As a final opportunity, let the response be filed within two weeks with an advance copy to counsel appearing on behalf of the other side, who may file rejoinder thereto, if any, within two weeks thereafter.

Renotify on 28.03.2017

CO.PET. 248/2009, CO.APPL. 1285/2015, 1876/2015, 641/2016 & OLR 236/2016

Renotify on 28.03.2017

SIDDHARTH MRIDUL, J

FEBRUARY 07, 2017

mk