



\$~1 to 4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 7331/2015

RANBIR SINGH DAGAR AND ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through:

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Siddharth Panda, Panel Counsel
with Mr. Anil Pandey, Adv. for
R-1&2.

Ms. Shobhana Takiar Standing
Counsel for DDA with Mr. Shivam
Takiar, Mr. Prateek Dhir and
Mr. Kuljeet Singh, Advs. for DDA.

Mr. G.S. Oberoi, ASC with
Mr. Ankur Sharma, Adv. for DDA.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, SC with
Mrs. K. Kaomudi Kiran Pathak,
Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha, Mr. M. S.
Akhtar, Miss Joohu Kumari and
Mr. Kushagra Dixit, Advs. for
LAC/GNCTD.

2

+ W.P.(C) 6316/2014

M/S PARSHID ESTATE PVT. LTD.

.....Petitioner

Through:

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Devvrat Yadav, SPC along with
Mr. Kartik Sharma, GP for UOI.
Ms. Manika Tripathy, SC with Mr.



G.S. Oberoi, Mr. Saksham Singh,
Ms. Niharika Ahluwalia,
Mr. Himagn Jain, Ms. Sonakshi
Chaturvedi and Ms. Aarushi Gupta,
Advs. for DDA.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, SC with
Mrs. K. Kaomudi Kiran Pathak,
Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha, Mr. M. S.
Akhtar, Miss Joohu Kumari and
Mr. Kushagra Dixit, Advs. for
LAC/GNCTD.

3

+

W.P.(C) 2116/2015

M/S MASSOM APPARTMENTS PRIVATE
LIMITED

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Charul Sarin and Mr. Harish
Kumar, Adv.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Siddharth Panda, Panel Counsel
with Mr. Anil Pandey, Adv. for
R-1&2.

Mr. G.S. Oberoi, ASC with
Mr. Ankur Sharma, Adv. for DDA.

4

+

W.P.(C) 6617/2014

M/S AASAKTI ESTAES PVT. LTD.

.....Petitioner

Through:

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Vikram Jetly, CGSC with
Ms. Shreya Jetly, Adv. for UOI.



Ms. Manika Tripathy, Ms. Niharika Ahluwalia, Ms. Sonakshi Chaturvedi, Mr. Himagn Jain and Ms. Aarushi Gupta, Advs. for DDA.

Mr. G. S. Oberoi, ASC with Mr. Ankur Sharma, Adv. for DDA.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, SC with Mrs. K. Kaomudi Kiran Pathak, Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha, Mr. M. S. Akhtar, Miss Joohu Kumari and Mr. Kushagra Dixit, Advs. for LAC/GNCTD.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

07.05.2026

%

CM APPL. 29038/2026 (Permission to carry construction) in W.P.(C) 7331/2015

1. The respondent no.3/Delhi Development Authority has approached this Court, through the present application, alleging that the permission be granted in its favour to commence and carry out the remaining work related to the construction and widening of road leading to the Central Armed Police Forces Institute of Medical Sciences Hospital (hereinafter 'CAPFIMS').
2. It is the case of the respondents that the subject land was subjected to acquisition, which is disputed by the petitioner.
3. An interim order dated 3rd August, 2015 is in operation in the matter wherein *status quo* was directed to be maintained.
4. The learned counsel appearing for the non-applicant to the



application/ petitioner states that considering the public interest, the *status quo* order can be modified to the extent of prayer made in the petition. However, this Court must clarify that the respondent shall not be claiming any equities in the matter, particularly when it is the case of the petitioner that he is entitled for compensation *qua* the said land and his plea that the proceedings need to be restored to the Hon'ble Supreme Court, are pending consideration before the Apex Court.

5. Reserving such right in favour of the original petitioner, we allow the present application in terms of prayer clause (1).

CM APPL. 28409/2026 (Permission to carry construction) in W.P.(C) 6316/2014

6. The respondent no.3/Delhi Development Authority has approached this Court through the present application alleging that the permission be granted in its favour to commence and carry out the remaining work related to the construction and widening of road leading to the Central Armed Police Forces Institute of Medical Sciences (hereinafter 'CAPFIMS').

7. It is the case of the respondents that the subject land was subjected to acquisition which is disputed by the petitioner.

8. An interim order dated 22nd September 2014 is in operation in the matter wherein *status quo* was directed to be maintained.

9. The learned counsel appearing for the non-applicant to the application/ petitioner states that considering the public interest, the *status quo* order can be modified to the extent of prayer made in the petition. However, this Court must clarify that the respondent shall not be claiming any equities in the matter.

10. Reserving the aforesaid right in favour of the original petitioner, the



present application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (1) and is, accordingly, disposed of.

CM APPL. 28410/2026 (Permission to carry construction) in W.P.(C) 2116/2015

11. The respondent no.3/Delhi Development Authority has approached this Court through the present application alleging that the permission be granted in its favour to commence and carry out the remaining work related to the construction and widening of road leading to the Central Armed Police Forces Institute of Medical Sciences (hereinafter ‘CAPFIMS’).

12. It is the case of the respondents that the subject land was subjected to acquisition which is disputed by the petitioner.

13. An interim order dated 2nd November 2017 is in operation in the matter wherein *status quo* was directed to be maintained.

14. The learned counsel appearing for the non-applicant to the application that is petitioner states that considering the public interest, the *status quo* order can be modified to the extent of prayer made in the petition. However, this Court must clarify that the respondent shall not be claiming any equities in the matter.

15. Reserving the aforesaid right in favour of the original petitioner, the present application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (1) and is, accordingly, disposed of.

CM APPL. 29012/2026 (Permission to carry construction) in W.P.(C) 6617/2014

16. The respondent no.3/Delhi Development Authority has approached this Court through the present application alleging that the permission be granted in its favour to commence and carry out the remaining work related



to the construction and widening of road leading to the Central Armed Police Forces Institute of Medical Sciences (hereinafter ‘CAPFIMS’).

17. It is the case of the respondents that the subject land was subjected to acquisition which is disputed by the petitioner.

18. An interim order dated 26th September 2014 is in operation in the matter wherein *status quo* was directed to be maintained.

19. The learned counsel appearing for the non-applicant to the application that is petitioner states that considering the public interest, the *status quo* order can be modified to the extent of prayer made in the petition. However, this Court must clarify that the respondent shall not be claiming any equities in the matter.

20. Reserving the aforesaid right in favour of the original petitioner, the present application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (1) and is, accordingly, disposed of.

W.P.(C) 7331/2015

W.P.(C) 6316/2014

W.P.(C) 2116/2015

W.P.(C) 6617/2014

21. List on 28th July, 2026.

22. The date already fixed, i.e. 11th May, 2026, stands cancelled.

NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE, J

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

MAY 7, 2026/AS/dd