



\$~8 to 10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 1943/2015**

BABU LAL BISHNOI AND ORS.Petitioners
Through: Counsel (Appearance not
given)
versus
UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr. Raj Kumar Yadav and Ms.
Preeti Gothwal, Advs. for UOI

+ **W.P.(C) 2788/2015**

AJOY ADHIKARY AND ORS.Petitioners
Through: Mr. Siddharth Batra, Adv.
versus
UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.Respondents
Through: Ms. Pratima N Lakra, CGSC
with Ms. Kiran Dharam and
Mr. Shailendra Kumar Mishra,
Advs. with Mr. Subhas, RPF.

+ **W.P.(C) 210/2016**

ABHAY SINGH & ORSPetitioners
Through: Counsel (Appearance not
given)
versus
UNION OF INDIA & ORSRespondents
Through: Mr. Vedansh Anand, SPC with
Mr. Kush Garg, Adv.
Ms. Pratima N Lakra, CGSC
with Ms. Kiran Dharam and
Mr. Shailendra Kumar Mishra,
Advs. with Mr. Subhas, RPF.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN



ORDER
15.04.2026

%

1. On 04.08.2025, the following order was passed :

“1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners contends that as per the Railway Police Force (RPF) Rules, 1994 as well as the Amended RPF Rules, 2006, recruitment in the ‘Group A’ posts of Assistant Security Commissioner (ASC)/ Assistant Commandant (AC) is stipulated at the ratio of 5:4:1, i.e., 50% by direct recruitment through examinations conducted by the Union Public Service Commission (UPSC), 40% by promotion of inspectors and 10% by allocation under the ex-servicemen quota, which 10%, if not filled up, is also required to be filled by promotion of inspectors.

2. Learned counsel then contends that instead of holding the Departmental Promotion Committees (DPC) on an yearly basis, the respondents have been giving ad hoc positions of ASC/ AC to the promotees, and it is only post their attaining superannuation that a review DPC is held, by which time no actual promotion can be given to them and they are deprived of all future promotions/ benefits.

3. As such, he contends that it is incumbent upon the Union of India to disclose as to whether regular DPCs have been held since the year 2008 or not, as also how many persons have been promoted since then, as well as what is the status of promotion of those who attained the age of superannuation while being ad hoc ASCs/ ACs.

4. Accordingly, learned counsel for the Union of India is called upon to file the aforesaid details before the next date of hearing.

5. List on 12.08.2025.”

2. During the course of hearing, learned counsel representing the Respondents has produced a set of documents including a tabulated information which reflects that after the vacancy year 2018, DPC for promotion to the Group A post has not been held in Railway Protection Force.

3. Learned counsel representing the Respondents submits that on account of interim order passed by the Karnataka High Court, DPC could not be held, however, he admits that the aforesaid case was decided in the year 2021.



4. At this stage, learned counsel representing the Respondents discloses that proposal along with data has been sent to the UPSC for fixing a date for holding DPC.
5. List on 29.04.2026 in the 'Supplementary List' for final disposal.
6. Affidavit, if any, be filed before the next date of hearing with copy in advance to learned counsel representing the Petitioners.
7. A photocopy of the Order passed today be kept in the connected matter(s).

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

APRIL 15, 2026

'KDK'