

\$~22 & 23

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 3264/2017

CENTRAL BOARD OF TRUSTEES EPFO THR APFC
DELHI NORTH Petitioner

Through: Mr. Prem Prakash, Adv.

versus

M/S JAGAT CINEMA Respondent

Through: Mr. H.D. Sharma, Adv.

+ W.P.(C) 3266/2017

CENTRAL BOARD OF TRUSTEES EPFO THROUGH APFC
DELHI,NORTH Petitioner

Through: Mr. Prem Prakash, Adv.

versus

M/S RITZ THEATRE PVT LTD Respondent

Through: Mr. H.D. Sharma, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.HARI SHANKAR

ORDER

% **10.10.2018**

Learned counsel for the respondent advances detailed submissions, questioning the maintainability of these writ petitions.

It would be appropriate that the submissions are placed in the form of an affidavit, so that adequate response could be provided by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the respondent is, therefore, directed to file an affidavit, setting out his objections to the maintainability of the writ petitions, within a period of two weeks with advance copy to the

petitioner who may file his response thereto within a period of one week thereof.

List for arguments on the issue of maintainability on 28th November, 2018.

C.HARI SHANKAR, J.

OCTOBER 10, 2018/kr