



\$~28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 17642/2025
PROF DHANI RAM

.....Petitioner

Through: Dr. Satya Prakash Gautam, Mr. Ajay Daniel and Mr. Bhupender Singh, Advocates.

versus

UNIVERSITY OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Mohinder Singh Rupal, Advocate for R-1 along with Mr. Hardik Rupal, Ms. Aishwarya Malhotra and Ms. Tripta Sharma, Advocates for University of Delhi.
Mr. Anurag Dayal Mathur, Advocate for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

%

04.12.2025

1. The petitioner is a Professor in the Department of Commerce at Ramjas College, University of Delhi, serving since 2005.
2. The petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that despite being exonerated by the ICC *vide* report dated 25.10.2024, pursuant to the directions of the Governing Body, the ICC has retrospectively reviewed its findings. It is submitted that the same is in abject disregard of the statutory prescription, *inter-alia*, the relevant UGC Regulations, 2015. It is further submitted that the petitioner was not afforded an opportunity of hearing at all in the so-called review exercise.
3. Issue notice.
4. Learned counsel, as aforesaid, accepts notice on behalf of the



respondents.

5. Let reply be filed by the respondents within a period of four weeks from today. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within a period of two weeks thereafter.
6. During the course of hearing, Mr. Rupal, learned counsel appearing for Delhi University points out that the entire matter is being examined by the University of Delhi, including the alleged infraction/s of the prescribed statutory procedures.
7. Let the outcome of the said exercise being conducted by the University of Delhi be adverted to in the affidavit to be filed by the respondents.
8. List on 25.03.2026.

SACHIN DATTA, J

DECEMBER 4, 2025/r