



\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 4596/2011**

BABA FARIDPURI WELFARE GROUP

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajiv Kumar Ghawan, Mr. Ashish Kumar, Mr. Vikalp Chandela and Mr. Shikhar Verma, Advocates.

versus

M.C.D.& ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Avni Singh and Mr. Vaibhav Sharma, Advocates for GNCTD.
Mr. Anand Prakash, Standing Counsel with Ms. Varsha Arya, Advocate for MCD.
Mr. Sheetesh Khanna and Mr. Kartik Wadhwa, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

01.09.2025

%

1. Learned Counsel for Respondent/GNCTD submits that joint status report was ready but has been filed belatedly. She further submits that in terms thereof, a demarcation by the Total Station Machine [TSM Method] is required, so the disputed property can be identified and that the Petitioner bear the expenses for the same.

2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner, who has received an advance copy of the report, submits that the report does not set out the approximate expenses. If the necessary communication is received from the Respondent/



GNCTD, the Petitioner would take appropriate steps.

3. Learned Counsel for Respondent/GNCTD submits that she will communicate the approximate costs to the Petitioner by a written communication within three days from today.
4. List on 31.10.2025.
5. In the meantime, let the status report be brought on record.
6. The parties shall act based on a digitally signed copy of the order.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

SEPTEMBER 1, 2025/pa