



\$~36 & 37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 6128/2017 & CM Appl.24634/2024, 25462/2017,**
8588/2018, 15611/2021

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL COORPORATION.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Sriparna Chatterjee, Adv.

versus

VANDANA & 22 ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. Rajiv Agarwal, Ms. Meghna De,
Ms. L. Gangmei and Ms. Surbhi
Bagra, Adv. for R-1 to 6, 17 to 19
and 21 to 23.

(37)

+ **W.P.(C) 3276/2018 & CM Appl.12892/2018**

EAST DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONPetitioner

Through: Mr. Kumar Rajesh Singh, Adv.

versus

JOLLY JOSEPH & ORSRespondents

Through: Ms. Laavanya Kaushik, Ms. Alize
Alam and Mr. M. Sehrawat, Adv. for
R-1 and 2.

Mr. Rajiv Agarwal, Ms. Meghna De,
Ms. L. Gangmei and Ms. Surbhi
Bagra, Adv. for R-3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

%

09.09.2024

1. Learned Counsel for the parties seek and are granted time to file their respective written synopsis, not exceeding three pages each, at least three days before the next date of hearing, along with the compilation of judgments, if any, they wish to rely upon.

1.1 All judgments sought to be relied upon by the learned Counsel for the parties shall be filed with an index which also sets out the relevant paragraph



numbers and the proposition of law that it sets forth.

2. List on 18.09.2024 at the end of the supplementary list.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

SEPTEMBER 9, 2024/r

Click here to check corrigendum, if any