



\$~98 to 100

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010904662025

+ CRL.M.C. 8148/2025
ANIL KUMAR AND OTHERSPetitioners

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

+ CRL.M.C. 8169/2025 & CRL.M.A. 34131/2025
MR. SATYAVIR AND OTHERSPetitioners

versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI
AND ANR.Respondents

+ CRL.M.C. 8219/2025
ANIL KUMAR AND OTHERSPetitioners

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Appearances:

Mr. Rahul Kumar Verma, Mr. Rajiv K., Mr. Raj Shekhar Awasthi, Mr. Alok Singh, Mr. Nishant Mathur, Advocates for R-2 in item No. 100, 98 and for petitioners in item No. 99.

Mr. Rakesh Pal Singh, Ms. Pulkit, Advocates for petitioners in item No. 98 and 100 and for respondent No. 2 in item No. 99, except Omveer Singh.

Mr. Yudhvair Singh Chauhan, APP with Mr. Narendra Kumar, Advocate for State.

Omveer through VC.



CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER
07.08.2026

%

1. By order dated 21.01.2026, it was recorded that one of the parties, namely, Mr. Omveer, who is an accused in two of the subject FIRs and a victim in the corresponding cross-FIR, had raised an objection to the quashing of the proceedings in which he is a victim, notwithstanding the fact that he had earlier filed an affidavit conveying his no objection.
2. It was further recorded that Mr. Omveer intended to engage independent counsel to address submissions before this Court. Accordingly, he was directed to remain personally present on the next date of hearing. However, neither Mr. Omveer nor the counsel engaged by him is physically present before the Court today, although Mr. Omveer appears through video conference.
3. In the interest of justice, one final opportunity is granted to Mr. Omveer to remain personally present before this Court on the next date of hearing, alongwith his counsel. It is made clear that, in the event of his failure to do so, this Court may be constrained to impose costs upon him.
4. List on 07.10.2026.
5. The remaining petitioners and respondents, 14 in number, are all personally present before the Court and have been duly identified by their respective learned counsel as well as the Investigating Officer. Learned counsel for the parties submit that, with the exception of Mr. Omveer, all the parties have unequivocally consented to the quashing of all three



FIRs, in terms of the settlement arrived at between them. It is further submitted that the no-objection affidavits of the concerned complainants have also been placed on record.

6. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, the personal appearance of all the parties, except Mr. Omveer, is dispensed with for the present.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

AUGUST 7, 2026

‘B’/SD/