



\$~34 and 35

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **ARB.P. 1922/2025**

KOTAK MAHINDRA PRIME LIMITED

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ajay Uppal, Mr. Dheeraj Kumar
Nag, Advocates.

versus

SHUBHAM KUMAR MISHRA & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through:

35

+ **ARB.P. 1924/2025**

KOTAK MAHINDRA PRIME LIMITED

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ajay Uppal, Mr. Dheeraj Kumar
Nag, Advocates.

versus

AKASH CHOUDHARY & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

%

17.11.2025

1. It has been put to counsel for the petitioner whether this Court has the jurisdiction to entertain the present petitions, since the arbitration clause in the Loan Agreement does not provide Delhi to be the seat of arbitration.
2. An adjournment is sought on behalf of counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner.
3. Re-notify on 18th December, 2025 in the 'Supplementary List.

AMIT BANSAL, J

NOVEMBER 17, 2025

at