



\$~7

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RSA 185/2025

RAM BABU SINGH

.....Appellant

Through: Appearance not given.  
versus

PAWAN SINGH

.....Respondent

Through:

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA**

**ORDER**

% **24.03.2026**

1. Regular Second Appeal under Section 100 CPC has been filed against the Judgment dated 26.07.2024 of the learned Civil Judge who dismissed the Suit of the Plaintiff/appellant for Possession and Arrears of Rent by observing that even through there were Lease Agreement and documents of title in favour of the Plaintiff, but it was established that these had been instituted by the Defendant under the influence of intoxication and, therefore, the documents were disbelieved and the Suit was dismissed.

2. A Regular Civil Appeal was preferred before the learned District Judge, wherein the findings of the learned Civil Judge were upheld and the Appeal was dismissed. Aggrieved by the said Order, the present Regular Second Appeal has been preferred.

3. The **Substantial Questions of Law** that have been raised are as under :

(i) Whether the Title documents on behalf of the



Plaintiff/Appellant have not been appreciated in the right perspective?

(ii) Whether the registered Lease Agreement proved the tenancy of the Respondent and has not been considered correctly?

(iii) Whether the findings of the two Courts are perverse and against the evidence led by the parties?

4. Notice of the Appeal be served upon the Respondent, on taking steps by the Appellant, to be served through ordinary post and electronic mode, returnable for the next date of hearing.
5. E-TCR of the learned Trial Court as well as of the First Appellate Court be summoned before the next date of hearing.
6. List before the learned Joint Registrar on 28.05.2026.

**NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J**

**MARCH 24, 2026/va**