



\$~34

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 3840/2024

JUNAID KHAN & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Shakir Shabir, Mr. A.F. Faizi, Mr.
Kashif Zafar, Ms. Soumya Singh,
Advocates

versus

UNION OF INDIA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY MINISTRY OF
HOME AFFAIRS & ORS.Respondents

Through: Dr. Ramaswamy Swamy with Mr.
Chetan Jadon, Ms. Shivangi Rawat,
GP for R-1 to 3. (UOI)
Dr. B Ramaswamy, Advocate
Ms. Tanya Chawdhary, Advocate for
R-4/RBI.
Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari, ASC for the
State with Mr. Alok Sharma, Mr. Vasu
Agarwal, Advocates for R-5
Insp. Shiv Dev, IFSO, Special Cell.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

% **29.01.2025**

1. Learned counsel for the Petitioner states that the subject matter of the writ petition is regarding the debit freeze imposed on 9 bank accounts held by Petitioner Nos. 1 to 5 separately.

1.1. He states that debit freeze orders received by the concerned banks have not been shared with the Petitioners.

1.2. He states that there is no FIR pending against the Petitioners and therefore the Petitioners have no inkling as to the reason for these debit freeze



orders.

2. In the aforesaid facts, at the request of the Petitioner, the Petitioner is granted liberty to implead the concerned 5 banks with which the said nine (9) bank accounts are held. The bank shall be arrayed as Respondent nos. 13 to 17.

3. Amended memo of parties to be filed within three (3) days.

4. Upon steps being taken by the Petitioner, issue notice to the newly impleaded Respondent nos. 13 to 17 through ordinary process, e-mode; in addition, through the nominated counsel for the said bank(s).

5. Let the notices state that the said bank(s) shall file their status report two (2) days prior to the next date of hearing duly enclosing the orders received by them from the enforcement agencies leading to the debit freeze of the bank accounts of the Petitioners.

6. Since it appears from the record that the enforcement agencies had acted upon the information received from Respondent Nos. 2 and 3, it is directed that Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 shall ensure that the status report is placed on record two (2) days prior to the next date of hearing, failing which the concerned officer shall remain present in Court. Since the complaint has originated from Respondent nos. 2 and 3, the status report shall name a nodal officer who can coordinate a hearing between the Petitioners and the multiple enforcement agencies.

7. List on 19.02.2025.

[

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

JANUARY 29, 2025/mt/MG

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)