



\$~4

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CS(COMM) 1095/2024

M/S INTREND TEXTILES

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Raghavendra Mohan Bajaj &
Mr. Kanav Agarwal, Advs.

versus

M/S S.S. INTERNATIONAL & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. N.K. Bhatnagar, Adv.
(appeared through VC), Mr.
Akram Khan & Ms. Tanushree
Khandelwal, Advs.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. MANISH
SHARMA, (DHJS)**

ORDER

16.10.2025

%

**I.A.No.22990/2025 (under Section 5 of the Limitation
Act filed on behalf of the plaintiff seeking condonation
of delay in filing reply to I.A.No.15527/2025)**

1. As per office note, reply not filed by the defendants.
2. Learned counsel for the defendant submits that he has no objection, if the captioned IA is allowed.
3. Heard. Record perused.
4. In view of the averments made in the captioned IA and also in view of the no objection furnished by learned counsel for the plaintiff, the captioned IA is allowed. Delay in filing reply to IA No.15527/2025 is condoned and the same is taken on record.
5. IA stands disposed of.



I.A.No.15527/2025 (filed on behalf of the defendants seeking leave to defend)

6. Reply to the captioned IA has been filed on behalf of the plaintiff.
7. Let rejoinder, if any, be filed within two weeks with advance copy to the opposite side.

I.A.No.22314/2025 (under Order XI Rule 1 (5) CPC filed on behalf of the plaintiff seeking leave to file additional documents)

8. No reply to the captioned IA has been filed.
9. Learned counsel for the defendants seeks some more time to file reply.
10. Let reply to the captioned IA be filed within two weeks with advance copy to the opposite side.

CS(COMM) 1095/2024 & I.A.No.47503/2024 (under Order XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 CPC)

11. Re-notify the matter for completion of pleadings qua the captioned IAs on **07th November, 2025**.

MANISH SHARMA, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
OCTOBER 16, 2025/nk