



\$~65

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3744/2025**

SUKHNEET SINGH BHATIA

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Ramesh Kumar, Adv (through
VC)**

versus

**STATION HOUSE OFFICER KESHAVPURAM DELHI
& ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: **Ms. Rupali Bandhopadhyia, ASC with
Ms. Amisha Gupta, Adv for State
with ASI Surender PS Keshav Puram,
SI Mukul Yadav, PS Keshav Puram.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

14.11.2025

1. The present petition has been filed seeking quashing of FIR No. 567/2023 dated 08.07.2023 under Section 287 & 304A of IPC registered at Keshav Puram, Delhi on the ground that the parties have arrived at settlement.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioner submits that in terms of settlement, the petitioner has already paid an amount Rs 6,10,000/- to respondent No.2, who is the wife of the deceased, who died due to injury sustained by him in the factory of the petitioner.
3. Issue notice.
4. Ms. Rupali Bandhopadhyia, ASC appearing on behalf of the State accepts notice. She submits that the amount paid to respondent No.2 is highly inadequate and is not in tune with the provisions of the Employees



Compensation Act, 1923.

5. At this stage, learned ASC points out that the deceased is survived by his wife, mother as well as three minor children. Though, the wife has been arrayed as respondent No.2, but other legal heirs have not been so arrayed as respondents.

6. On the oral request of the petitioner, the other legal heirs of deceased are allowed to be arrayed as respondents. Let an amended memo of parties be filed within a week.

7. Upon petitioner taking necessary steps, notice be issued to respondent No. 2, as well as newly added respondent Nos.3 to 6 by all permissible modes, returnable on 16th February, 2026. Additionally, respondent Nos. 2 to 6 be served through the concerned IO.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

NOVEMBER 14, 2025

sk