



\$~52

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 16877/2024 CM APPL. 71474/2024 CM APPL. 71475/2024**
BHUMI CHHATAR SINGH RACHHOYAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Anurag Ojha, Mr. Pankaj Sinha,
Mr. Raj Mani Mishra, Ms. Pragya
Dubey, Mr. Munish Kumar, Mr.
Anurag Singh and Mr. Hemant
Sharma, Advocates.

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. Rishikesh Kumar, ASC, GNCTD
with Ms. Sheenu Priya, Mr. Vikas
Saini, Mr. Atik Gill, Mr. Sudhir
Kumar Shukla and Mr. Sudhir,
Advocates for R-1.
Mr. Kapil Dutta and Mr. Vansh
Luthra, Advocates for MCD.
Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Singh,
Advocate for R-10 (DCP)
Ms. Manisha Agrawal Narain, CGSC
with Mr. Chandan Deep Singh and
Mr. Sandeep Singh Somaria,
Advocates for R-10.
Ms. Mrinalini Sen Gupta, SC and Ms.
Shivangi Bhasin, Advocate.
Ms. Sangeeta Bharti, Standing
Counsel (Delhi Jal Board) with Ms.
M. Balyan, Advocate for R-12/DJB.

CORAM:
HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TUSHAR RAO GEDELA

ORDER
06.12.2024

%



1. The petitioner has filed the present petition, *inter-alia*, praying as under:

“a. Issue writ of mandamus in public interest directing to the Respondents to enforce section 30 of Delhi Development Act, 1957 to demolish the unauthorized developments over the agricultural and government land Gata Nos. 74/4, 5, 6 & 7, opposite metro pillar no. 450 & 451, backside of Mosque, at Rajdhani Park, New Delhi,

AND

b. Pass the order imposing penalty upon the competent authorities who are deliberately allowing the raising of unauthorized developments/constructions.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the Delhi Development Authority (DDA) submits that the necessary action in regard to the unauthorized development has been initiated and the DDA has been regularly issuing show-cause notices in regard to the unauthorized constructions and also taking further steps for demolishing the same. He points out that the petitioner has also acknowledged that the DDA had approached the police authorities seeking protection for conducting the proposed demolition. He contends that no orders are required to be passed in this petition since concerned authority is taking the necessary action.

3. In the given circumstances, we do not consider it apposite to pass any further direction. However, bearing in mind the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner, we request the DDA to furnish the status report before the next date of hearing.

4. List on 05.03.2025.

ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

TUSHAR RAO GEDELA, J

DECEMBER 06, 2024/ssc

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)